



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

### Publication Draft - Representation Form

#### PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Kidman	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	LS29 8 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 27.03.14

#### Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text" value="3.8"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------------------	--------	----------------------

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I challenge the notion that Ilkley should be a principal town, with all the development that such status attracts.

Wharfedale is served by just one major through road – the A65. There is no way that it can be upgraded through the town centre and a bypass is out of the question as there is nowhere to route it. There are no current plans to improve other public transport links although it is acknowledged that the railway is at peak hours capacity. Some bus services are poor – and there is no regular bus link to Bradford. There is no 'fast' service to Leeds, to the airport or to either general hospital (Otley or Airedale). The road over Ilkley Moor from Burley Woodhead and the road from Otley to Beamsley on the north side of the river are not suitable for further traffic increases. The latter is designated the Yorkshire Dales Cycleway and is used throughout the year, not just at weekends, by very large numbers of cyclists. It is narrow with poor sight lines.

Ilkley is much smaller than other principal towns in West Yorkshire. Keighley, Batley, Dewsbury and Brighouse are all at least twice the size. Shipley, which is twice the size of Ilkley, and needs urban regeneration, is not designated as a principal town.

Ilkley should only be required to provide for local housing needs because it is constrained by the two SPAs, green belt to the East and West, with flood plain in the valley bottom. Ilkley can meet local needs through windfall sites which have produced 40-50 new homes per year during the last decade (data held by Ilkley Civic Society). Even this amount of building has an impact on infrastructure (school places are the big issue).

Public administration is being withdrawn from Ilkley now. There are almost no Bradford Council services provided at the town hall. The museum and visitor information centre are under threat of closure – both are important to Ilkley as a tourist destination.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Ilkley should be designated a local service centre. The proposed housing figures should be reduced following a proper analysis of the HRA, to reflect the fact that modest amounts of housing can be placed on windfall sites in the 2.5 km zone only.

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

All of the 'principal town arguments' should be taken together as this is a cross-cutting issue.

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

9. Signature:

Date:

27.03.14

**Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft**

**PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM**

